

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/538,663	03/30/2000	Bjorn Markus Jakobsson	Jakobsson 22-2	8288
46304	7590 06/17/2005		EXAMINER	
RYAN, MASON & LEWIS, LLP 90 FOREST AVENUE			KARMIS, STEFANOS	
	ALLEY, NY 11560		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3624	
			DATE MAILED: 06/17/200	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	•		JAKOBSSON ET A	Δ1			
Office Action Summary		09/538,663		~L.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	Stefano Karmis	3624	dress			
Period fo		opears on the cover shee	it will the conception				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely preceived by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, m .136(a). In no event, however, m	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely MONTHS from the mailing date of this cone ne ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.			
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28	January 2005.					
-	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
- 4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-6,8-13 and 15-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-6,8-13 and 15-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	ion Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected or b) objected or abled in ablection is required if the dra	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). wing(s) is objected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d). FO-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachmen							
2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 er No(s)/Mail Date	Pape	riew Summary (PTO-413) r No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application (PTo	0-152)			

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

1. The following communication is in response to Applicant's amendment filed 28 January 2005.

Status of Claims

2. Claims 1-5, 8-13, 15 are currently amended. Claim 6 is left as originally filed. Claims 7 and 14 are cancelled. Claims 16-20 are newly added. Therefore claims 1-6, 8-13 and 15-20 are currently pending in this application.

Summary of this Office Action

Applicant's remarks have been fully considered and are discussed in the next section below. Pending claims 1-6, 8-13, and 15-20 are rejected based on the art cited below and Applicant's request for allowance is respectfully declined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Application/Control Number: 09/538,663

Art Unit: 3624

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 7. Claims 1-6, 8-13 and 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cobb U. S. Patent 6,199,102 in view of Ng U.S. Patent 6,640,301.

Claims 1-6, 8-13 and 15 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Cobb, U.S. Patent 6,199,102 as stated in paragraph 5 of the previous office action, mailed 29 September 2004. Regarding independent claim 1, Applicant has amended the claim to include determining whether email to a particular receiver comprises valid message authentication code (MAC) information, and filtering out at a gateway of the communication system email directed to the particular receiver that does not comprise valid MAC information. Cobb fails to teach this limitation. However Ng, teaches certifying and authenticating electronic mail in which emails comprise message authentication codes and emails are filtered out at a gateway if the code is not valid (column 6, line 41 thru column 7, line 17 and column 9, line 32 thru column 10, line 49). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the

Application/Control Number: 09/538,663

Art Unit: 3624

time of the Applicant's invention to modify the teachings of Cobb and include the teachings of Ng and have the email authenticated with codes because it provides a form of filtering based on an attribute associated with an email, so that fraudulent or unwanted emails can be screened.

Both Cobb and Ng teach the ability to authenticate emails based on some attribute associated with the email.

Claims 17-20, Cobb teaches a step for determining if a particular sender is a registered sender of email to the particular receiver wherein the particular sender becomes a registered sender by satisfying a requirement (column 7 line 53 thru column 8, line 13 and Figure 7A).

Claim 10 is amended in a similar manner to that of claim 1 and follows similar reasoning.

Any remaining claims are rejected based upon dependency or as stated in the previous office action, mailed 29 September 2004.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Application/Control Number: 09/538,663

Art Unit: 3624

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stefano Karmis whose telephone number is (571) 272-6744. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on (571) 272-6747. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Respectfully Submitted Stefano Karmis 06 June 2005 HANI M. KAZIMI PRIMARY EXAMINER